(സി) & (ഡി) ഈ സംഗതികളെസംബന്ധിച്ച് തിരുവിതാം കൂർ ഗവൺമെണ്ടുമായി ആലോചന നടക്കുന്നു.

The Velur School.

65. Mr. Chakko Cheruvattur.—Wll the Government be pleased to state.—

(a) the circumstances that led to the suspension of grant to the Velur School;

(b) 1. was the Manager asked to explain why the services of a certain teacher in that school were dispensed with;

2. what were his reasons;

3. were all those reasons inquired into by the Director and, if so, what was the nature of the inquiry conducted by him;

(c) did the Manager object to the order to reinstate that teacher; were his objections inquired into;

(d) in connection with the objections of the Manager, did the Director direct the opinion of the local Panchayat members to be taken; what was his object in doing so; did they submit their opinion;

(e) who were the members that reported their opinion;

why did the department disregard the opinion called for by itself;

(f) what was the report of the Deputy Inspector upon the opinion of the Panchayatdars; did the Director inquire how far the opinion is correct; if so, with what result;

under what provision is the department empowered to further interfere in the details of management of private schools?

Mr. C. Matthai.—(a) The persistent refusal of the Management to obey the orders of the department.

(b) 1. Yes.

2. The reasons given were:—

(i) The teacher had contracted some contagious disease.

(ii) His work was unsatisfactory.

(iii) His character was bad.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

3. Yes. The Director considered the orders issued by his predecessor in office, examined the reports of the Inspecting Officers concerned, and also personally enquired into the matter himself.

(c) Yes.

d) Yes.

To know their opinion. Yes.

(e) Messrs. V. K. Ramakrishna Ayyar, Lonappan Kalyanakrishna Pattar, Thoma and Kesavan Nambissan.

Because it was not acceptable.

(f) The report was that the opinion of the Panchayatdars was not based on the real facts of the case. The Director inquired into the matter, and found no grounds to modify the orders already passed.

Under the provisions of *the Education Code.*

66. Mr. Chakko Cheruvathur.— Will the Government be pleased to lay the following papers on the table.—

(a) the petition put in by the teacher concerned (referred to in question above) to the Government or the Director;

(b) the order issued by the Director to the Manager upon the said petition;

(c) the explanation submitted by the Manager;

(d) the subsequent orders passed by the Government on the said petition;

(e) the objections to reinstatement of the teacher concerned submitted by the Manager;

(f) the direction issued to the Deputy Inspector to call for the opinion of the Panchayat members;

(g) the opinion of the Panchayat members;

(h) the report of the Deputy Inspector thereon;

(i) the requisition made by the Director to the Deputy Inspector upon his report;

(j) the subsequent proceedings taken by the Government with regard to this school?

Mr. C. Matthai.—Sufficient information has been furnished in the answer to question No. 65. The Government do not consider it necessary to place the papers called for.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly